

Delivering Advantage

West Midlands Economic Strategy and Action Plan | 2004-2010

Progress Report  
2006 – 2007



## **Contents:**

1. Executive Summary

2. Introduction

3. Overall Progress against the Vision

4. Progress on Actions

Annex A      Headline Indicators


Annex B      Summary of the responses against Actions

## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the third progress report for the Action Plan of the current West Midlands Economic Strategy, 'Delivering Advantage'. It is a continuation of the commitment of Advantage West Midlands and partners to produce an annual report on progress being made in delivering the Strategy. The progress on the actions is based on information provided by the lead partners listed against the Priority Actions, and is in response to a questionnaire template sent to them to capture their assessment. This report covers the period from beginning of July 2006 to the end of June 2007.

This year, the work on the progress report coincides with the work on the overall review of the West Midlands Economic Strategy. This presents us with an opportunity to identify the completed actions and the actions to be continued, which may be taken forward into the revised strategy. While some actions may not require carrying forward into the revised WMES, the related themes may well continue to be represented in the revised strategy.

Again as with the last two years' responses Diagram 1 gives a strong indication of most actions hitting the mark, with this year showing the best performance and six of these actions highlighted as completed or have been revised going forward. Action 39 which stood out in the red during the first year reporting has now progressed to 'hitting the mark', a direct result from the renewed support for Social Enterprise under the Business Simplification Programme. This year, Action 41 is the only action in the red and experiencing some difficulties. This action relates to the engagement with the voluntary and community sector, and the difficulty is a reflection of the structural changes and challenges within some of the organisations involved in the delivery of this action.



**Diagram 1** is a summary of progress made in delivering the 45 Priority Actions of the West Midlands Economic Strategy and shows the average ratings of the Actions. **Green** - for Actions hitting the mark; **Yellow** for Actions making progress; **Red** for Actions not hitting the mark and experiencing serious difficulties. This year it also shows in **Blue and underlined** the Actions completed or revised going forward.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to review progress on '*Delivering Advantage*' identifying any issues to be addressed to expedite delivery of the Actions. The first two reports completed over the past two years, respectively, were well received by partners as an indication of progress. This third report, as with the last two, indicates a broad continuation of progress and does not identify any major changes.

The simultaneous work being undertaken on the overall review of the West Midlands Economic Strategy means that the Actions are being scrutinised more closely than before. The revised strategy and action plan will present the necessary actions to deliver the objectives of the new strategy. Work is currently ongoing to determine which of the current 45 Priority Actions from '*Delivering Advantage*' have been satisfactorily completed and which ones should be carried forward into the revised action plan. The summary of the responses against actions, Annex B, also gives some indication of actions completed or revised going forward.

Again the report focuses on a qualitative assessment of progress on the 45 Actions set out in '*Delivering Advantage*'. The report is compiled from a self assessment made by partners charged with delivery of the actions and as such does not make a judgement over the achievement of the Strategy but rather simply it looks at whether the right steps are being taken to deliver the Strategy, identifying what is working well and where further support may be needed to achieve successful results.

### **3. OVERALL PROGRESS AGAINST THE VISION**

The current West Midlands Economic Strategy (WMES) sets out a vision of the region's economy: "The West Midlands is recognised as a World Class region in which to invest, work, learn, visit and live and the most successful in creating wealth to benefit all of its people."

To demonstrate progress against this WMES vision and to understand the current context of the region, a suite of headline indicators were assembled under each of these five elements (invest, work, learn, visit and live) when the strategy was published in 2004.

The following summaries highlight the detailed changes in the headline indicators since the production of the previous 'Progress Report 2005 - 2006' and the latest comparable data available<sup>i</sup>. Please refer to the 'Headline Indicators' table in Annex A. Also please recognise that we cannot determine whether these changes are unique, cyclical or whether they expose a lasting shift in the economic characteristics of the nation or region.

#### **3.1 Invest**

- The most well known measure of regional economic productivity is Gross Value Added (GVA). In the West Midlands, GVA per head of population has grown by 3.2% since the last update, compared to an increase of 7.4% in UK levels.
- Business survival rates reflect entrepreneurial activity in the formation of new companies and their ability to survive their first three years of trading. Business survival rates have been rising steadily for both the UK and the West Midlands. Levels for the West Midlands (70.6%) linger slightly behind national levels of 71.3%.
- Female entrepreneurship at 3.0% is slightly below the UK average of 3.6%. The fall in total entrepreneurial activity for both men and women in the West Midlands is in line with national trends. Although, since the last update, this decline has been experienced to a greater extent in the West Midlands when compared to national figures.

---

<sup>i</sup> The previous 2006 data (see Annex A) was collated and published in October 2006. Most public data sources are not updated at such regular intervals; therefore it has not been possible to update certain indicators in the 'Headline Indicators' table and these cells have been left blank.

### **3.2 Work**

- Using the ILO definition of unemployment (those people of working age not in work but available for and seeking work) the latest figures for the regional total is 6.2 % of the potential working population (an increase of 19.2% since the last update). This compares to the national figure of 5.5% (an increase of 10% since the last update).
- The West Midlands has a claimant rate of 4.1%- slightly higher than figures noted in the last update (an increase of 2.5%). The national claimant rate has remained steady at 3%.
- The length of time spent unemployed can be used to assess whether certain groups of unemployed people face greater barriers to employment than others. Long term unemployment figures in the West Midlands have risen at a greater rate and now stand at 39.24% compared to 33.65% nationally.

### **3.3 Learn**

- A key factor underpinning the skills gaps and shortages faced by employers is the low levels of human capital in the region. Success at school is a key factor in determining the likelihood of further investment in skills and employment chances in later life. Qualification attainment amongst young people is below average (56.4%). The rate of increase in attainments levels in the West Midlands (20.8%), since the last update, has been comparable to growth nationally (20.3%).
- The percentage of students completing University who are now either employed or in further study ('Work destinations of graduates') in the West Midlands has remained in line with national figures (88% and 87.7% respectively).

### **3.4 Visit**

There has been no update to the three indicators used since 2004.

### **3.5 Live**

- Analysing affordability provide information about the accessibility of particular types and locations of housing to local people. Housing affordability compares average house prices with average earnings. According to this index, the improved housing affordability has continued in the West Midlands. In comparison, nationally housing has become less affordable (an increase of 2.6% since the last update).

## 4. PROGRESS ON ACTIONS

**4.1** Overall there has been excellent progress made in delivering most of the Priority Actions, with at least 40 of the 45 actions scoring either a grade A or B, which means that they are hitting the mark and that the steps to achieve the objectives of the Strategy are being accomplished. We have identified six of these actions; 4, 16, 17, 32, 38 and 45, that have either been completed or revised going forward. This is a result of actions and priorities having moved on since the publication in 2004 of the current Strategy. However, it is important to note that while the next steps in the delivery of some Priority Actions may be completed, the themes or policy areas which they relate to may well be taken forward in the emerging, revised, strategy. It is also fair to say that most of the themes in the current Strategy, which the actions are referenced to, will be taken forward in one shape or another in the revised strategy.

### 4.2 Progress on actions by Pillar

An assessment of the information presented in Annex B, when put under the Pillar structure reveals the following:

- **Pillar 1: Developing a Diverse and Dynamic Business Base.** This pillar covers actions 1 to 16. It shows a continuation of organisation of the structures and activities under the Enterprise Board to meet the challenge of developing an enterprise culture across all segments of the economy and the different parts of the region, with added momentum on developing an enterprising culture amongst women. Modernisation and diversification continues for Manufacturing and best practice is being adopted across the region. Our Access to Finance initiatives and services continue to be excellent! Momentum is also being gained in the work to deliver the five (technological) priority areas identified by the Innovation and Technology Council, and Clusters continue to show great achievements. The High Technology Corridors also continue to be successful in playing a role in the delivery of key infrastructure projects. A new International Business Framework is being developed to address future inward investment actions, and each Cluster now has an established International Action Plan. The successful implementation of the Visitor Economy Strategy has now resulted in changes to the governance and delivery structures, as a result of evolving tourism priorities.
- **Pillar 2: Promoting a Learning and Skilful Region.** This pillar covers Actions 17 to 25. All Actions under this pillar, with the exception of the ICT Skills action, have been graded B. Lead responsibility for all actions under this pillar now lies with the Regional Skills Partnership. The creation of the RSP in mid 2004 set an entirely new context for the skills actions identified in the WMES. The latest prioritisation for the Regional Skills Partnership was published in 2006 and discussed at the Skills Summit held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of March 2007, and is now fully embedded in the revised West Midlands Economic Strategy 2007 – 2020.

- **Pillar 3: Creating the Conditions for Growth.** This pillar covers Actions 26 to 35. All actions under this pillar have been graded either A or B. Excellent progress has been achieved in the delivery of actions relating to transport, ICT, land use, design standards, planning and housing. The transport agenda continues to expand. The Rural Broadband Access Project has delivered 100% access to broadband across the region, for businesses and domestic premises. During 2005/06, 82% of the Region's employment land development took place on brownfield sites compared to 66% over the previous year. The £50m revamp of Fort Dunlop which had stood empty for over two decades began in the spring of 2005. The Water Resource Strategy is now being updated and refreshed and in July a document will be published outlining the pressures and challenges we face with managing water resources.
- **Pillar 4: Regenerating Communities.** This pillar covers Actions 36 to 41. Regeneration Zones continue to show progress under clear guidance and a workable timetable. The Market Towns Group 1 and Group 2 programmes have now been completed, with a portfolio of project activity having been delivered and an appropriate market town partnership having been formed in each town. The Group 3 programme is now mid-way through the third of a four year programme; each one has a solid portfolio of activity and strong partnership. On the issue of support for Social Enterprise, we are on target to achieve this action through a range of activity to be delivered through the West Midlands Social Enterprise Network project. The Regional Health partnership is working well, and the draft of the Regional Health and Well-being strategy is out for consultation. On the engagement of the voluntary and community sector in regeneration, the demise of EMBRACE has made progress really slow and RAWM is experiencing capacity issues which are affecting their ability to deliver. This capacity issue is currently being addressed.
- **Providing a Powerful Voice for the Region.** This pillar covers Actions 42 to 45. Since the launch of the Governing Idea and the first Regional Marketing Campaign (business) launched in 2004, subsequent regional image building activity has developed well against business, media and government audiences. Future actions and delivery will be informed by the current review of the Regional Marketing Strategy. The Annual State of the Region update in 2006 was well received and plans are in place to produce the 2007 update. A number of studies are being undertaken to inform effective working with other regions, and on the European agenda, following on from the Regional Assembly's strategic review of European working, endorsed by the Assembly in April 2007, a new document is being prepared, led by Advantage West Midlands.

## Annex A

<b>Headline Indicators</b>		<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
		<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
		<b>Invest</b>	Levels of Business Innovation - companies reporting innovative activity <sup>ii</sup>	52%	47%	52%	47%	55%	57%	55%	57%
Direct Inward Investment Levels – Total project successes	52		709	67	1070	81	1220	97	...	19.8%	....
Total exports - % of national value <sup>iii</sup>	7.10%			7.20%		7.20%		7.43%	...	3.2%	...
Productivity – GVA per head <sup>iv</sup>	£13,803		£15,273	£14,538	£15,980	£15,325	£16,802	£15,812	£18,051	3.2%	7.4%
Environmental Management Standards – businesses with ISO 14001 standard	355		2917	355	2918	355	2918				

\*National Figure is for England, rather than UK.

NB- Where data has not been presented (cells left blank) this is due to a lack of either initial data to make comparison with current data or a lack of updated current data to allow for comparison with initial data.

<sup>ii</sup> 2006 figures = 2002-04. Latest data from CIS4.

<sup>iii</sup> www.uktradeinfo.com - 2006 Provisional data

<sup>iv</sup> (2005 Provisional) UK data is Ex-Regio

<b>Headline Indicators</b>		<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
		<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
	Business Survival Rates – % of companies founded which survived for the three years <sup>v</sup>	69.30%	69.3%	70.70%	69.5%	68.9%	68.9%	70.6%	71.3%	2.5%	3.5%
	Research and Development performed within businesses <sup>vi</sup>	587	12, 786	...	...	...	...	735	13,410	...	...
	Total Entrepreneurial Activity (TEA) Amongst Women <sup>vii</sup>			3.50%	3.80%	3.50%	3.80%	3.00%	3.60%	- 14.3%	-5.3%
	Total Entrepreneurial Activity (TEA) Amongst Men <sup>viii</sup>			9.50%	8.90%	9.50%	8.90%	7.40%	7.90%	- 11.6%	-11.2%
<b>Work</b>	% of 19-21 year olds qualified to level 2+ <sup>* ix</sup>	76.20%	76.60%	74.40%	68.60%	67.40%	69.50%				
	% of working age with NVQ 1* <sup>x</sup>	15.20%	15%	15.70%	14.90%	14.60%	14.20%				

<sup>v</sup> Co.s formed 2002 - [www.sbs.gov.uk](http://www.sbs.gov.uk)

<sup>vi</sup> The latest data relates to BERD in 2005.

<sup>vii</sup> GEM 2006

<sup>viii</sup> GEM 2006

<sup>ix</sup> Population denominator recalculated for 2006 and not comparable to previous years.

<sup>x</sup> 2006 figs = Annual Population Survey ONS, Jan-Dec 2005

<b>Headline Indicators</b>	<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
% of working age with NVQ 2* <sup>xi</sup>	15.70%	15.30%	15.10%	15.30%	17.20%	15.90%				
% of working age with NVQ 3* <sup>xii</sup>	14.30%	14.40%	14.60%	14.70%	14.60%	15.00%				
% of working age with NVQ 4+* <sup>xiii</sup>	20.70%	23.90%	21.10%	25%	23.0%	26.4%				
% of working age without qualifications* <sup>xiv</sup>	18.70%	15.40%	18.70%	14.80%	17.70%	14.50%				
ILO unemployment rate Mar- Feb <sup>xv</sup>	5.70%	5%	5.20%	4.80%	5.20%	5.00%	6.20%	5.50%	19.2%	10.0%
Claimant Rate in June <sup>xvi</sup>	3.20%	2.70%	3.50%	2.70%	4.00%	3.00%	4.10%	3.00%	2.5%	0.0%

<sup>xi</sup> 2006 figs = Annual Population Survey ONS, Jan-Dec 2005

<sup>xii</sup> 2006 figs = Annual Population Survey ONS, Jan-Dec 2005

<sup>xiii</sup> 2006 figs = Annual Population Survey ONS, Jan-Dec 2005

<sup>xiv</sup> 2006 figs = Annual Population Survey ONS, Jan-Dec 2005

<sup>xv</sup> Realigned ONS, Mar-Feb 04/05, Jan-Dec 2005, Dec-Jan 07

<sup>xvi</sup> Realigned. NOMIS, ONS (NB 2007 data =March 07)

<b>Headline Indicators</b>		<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
		<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
	Long Term unemployment – proportion of claimants unemployed for more than 6 months <sup>xvii</sup>	34.19%	32.56%	32.11%	30.07%	36.56%	32.16%	39.24%	33.65%	7.3%	4.6%
	Self-employment levels - % of those 16+ self employed <sup>xviii</sup>	10.30%	12.10%	11.00%	12.70%	11.60%	12.60%				
	Wage/income levels – Gross weekly pay <sup>xix</sup>	£442.3	£487.1	£455.20	£498.20	£474.90	£516.40	£493.90	£537.30	4.0%	4.0%
<b>Learn</b>	Educational Performance - % of 15 year old getting A* to C <sup>xx</sup>	49.70%	52.90%			46.70%	49.20%	56.40%	59.20%	20.8%	20.3%
	Work destination of graduates - % of students completing University who are now either employed or in further study <sup>xxi</sup>	85.50%	85%	85.80%	85%	87.2%	87.5%	88.0%	87.7%	0.9%	0.2%
	Proportion of school leavers staying on to FE/structured training	83.60%	84.40%	83.60%	84.40%						

<sup>xvii</sup> Realigned for March data. NOMIS, ONS

<sup>xviii</sup> ONS Jun-May 03-05

<sup>xix</sup> Data re-aligned to account for change from New earnings Survey to Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings 2003-06 (mean figs)

<sup>xx</sup> ONS, % pupils in last year of study getting 5 or more grades A-C

<sup>xxi</sup> DES, HESA

<b>Headline Indicators</b>	<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
Overall Academic Rating of Universities – number of universities in top twenty of teaching rankings			2		2					
Overall Academic Rating of Universities – number of universities in top half of teaching rankings <sup>xxii</sup>			4		4		5			
RAE ratings – number of universities in top twenty of rankings			1		1		No further RAE updates			
RAE ratings – number of universities in top half of rankings			4		4					
RAE ratings – % of departments achieving 5 or 5* rates			38.10%	38.50%	38.10%	38.50%				
% of establishments with Skill shortage vacancies* <sup>xxiii</sup>			4%	4%	6%	5%				
% establishments with skill gaps* <sup>xxiv</sup>			22%	24%	15.9%	16.4%				

<sup>xxii</sup> 5 including Newman College

<sup>xxiii</sup> NESS 2005

<sup>xxiv</sup> NESS 2005

<b>Headline Indicators</b>		<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
		<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
	Number of skill gaps* <sup>xxv</sup>	268,400	2,315,978	176,582	1,540,143	110,248	1,264,929				
	Skill shortage as a % of employment*	24.0%	19.9%	21.7%	17.5%	21.3%	17.3%				
<b>Visit</b>	Overseas residents visits: holiday nights (000) <sup>xxvi</sup>	745		726		726					
	Overseas residents: total holiday visits (000) <sup>xxvii</sup>	138		156		156					
	Overseas residents' expenditure (£m): holidays <sup>xxviii</sup>	33		40		40					
<b>Live</b>	Housing affordability, price/earnings index – average house price compared to average salary*	7	8	7	8	6.9	7.6	6.8	7.8	-1.4%	2.6%

<sup>xxv</sup> NESS 2005

<sup>xxvi</sup> International Passenger Survey, most recent data is for 2005

<sup>xxvii</sup> International Passenger Survey, most recent data is for 2005

<sup>xxviii</sup> No update from 2004 figs

<b>Headline Indicators</b>	<b>Initial 2004</b>		<b>Update 1 2005</b>		<b>Update 2 2006</b>		<b>Update 3 2007</b>		<b>% change between update 2- 3: 2006 -07</b>	
	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>National</b>
Accessibility to broadband - % of households and businesses with access to broadband <sup>xxix</sup>	80%	80%	91%	90%	99%	99%				
Congestion/Journey times – Mean travel time to work (minutes)	23	25	23	26	24	26				
Congestion/Journey times - % of average trunk road speed achieved at AM peak	90%	92%	91.20%	90.60%						
Population Change between 1991-2001 <sup>xxx</sup>	0.70%	2.40%	.....	.....	.....	.....	....	....	...	...
Indices of Deprivation – % of SOAs in bottom 10% of England <sup>xxxi</sup>	8.70%		13.60%		16.60%					

<sup>xxix</sup> latest figure = estimated

<sup>xxx</sup> There are mid year population estimates available to 2005

<sup>xxxi</sup> Previously at Ward level so national comparison not possible

## Annex B

### Summary of the responses against Actions:

Mark signify: **A**, Action progressing smoothly; **B**, Action is on track with a few manageable issues still to be resolved; **C**, Difficulty is being experienced but progress is being made in taking action forward; **D**, Experiencing extreme difficulty to progress action with critical issues unresolved.

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>1</b> <b>Develop an Enterprise Culture</b>	Regional Entrepreneurship Group	<b>B</b>	The Enterprise Board is the current lead on this action.	Since this action was developed both the Regional Women's Enterprise Group and Regional Entrepreneurial Group are no longer operational. The former was disbanded following the successful launch of the Regional Women's Enterprise Unit which was launched in June 2006 and has been successful in helping more women into business and most importantly developing an enterprising culture amongst women and helping women to develop high growth businesses. This project has received national acclaim and will continue to run until March 2008 as it gradually becomes integrated into the services brokered by Business Link.
	Minority Ethnic Business Forum	<b>n/a</b>	The biggest issue is to ensure that activity around developing an enterprise culture is coordinated at a strategic level to ensure that capacity is sufficient, delivery effective and that activities are not duplicated, this is especially prominent in the young person's enterprise agenda. Many different organisations from both the public and private sector are developing and delivering activity which aims to promote and encourage enterprise, this needs to be identified and coordinated at a regional level. In addition to this the term 'enterprise' needs to be viewed in its wider context – in some corners it is still seen as just 'starting businesses'	
	Regional Women and Enterprise Group	<b>n/a</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>The meaning of enterprise needs to be promoted in its wider context i.e. encouraging enterprising behavior in all 'walks of life' and the strategic approach to coordinating, building capacity at the grass roots levels and overseeing the delivery of programmes needs to be encouraged further to ensure that a number of high level objectives around business support and enterprise are achieved.</p>	<p>The Minority Business Forum has ensured that the needs of ethnic minority businesses are seen as a priority in terms of business support and have produced research which highlights the economic benefits from encouraging enterprise within different communities but highlighting the different barriers there are amongst the existing and new diverse ethnic minority groups that live within the West Midlands. This research has helped the development of policies and in particular Business Link initiatives in ensuring that an enterprising culture continues to develop within ethnic minority communities. Many of these initiatives will become effective during 2007/08 and will continue to be reviewed.</p>
<p><b>2</b> <b>Manufacturing</b></p>	<p>The Beacon Manufacturing Group</p>	<p><b>B</b></p>	<p>The region has already contracted its Manufacturing Advisory Service (one year ahead of other RDAs) and the service provided already meets requirements planned by DTI for new contracts. Other</p>	<p>Modernisation and Diversification has been extended to all clusters notably aerospace, furniture, and building technologies are all embracing modernisation.</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>centres of excellence developed are Casting Technology and Process Modelling. Another is the materials solutions – Longbridge Nanomaterial Centre opened in response to the DTI – Micro and Nano Technology Executive.</p> <p>The region is adopting manufacturing best practice. MAS BL, CIF, Accelerate, Lift Off, plus other AWM funded programmes are raising the competitiveness of manufacturing companies. Business Support Transformation will provide greater numbers and deeper intervention.</p>	Diversification had assisted 372 companies and created or safeguarded 1462 jobs in the last 12 months.
<p><b>3</b> <b>e-Business uptake</b></p>	ICT Steering Group	<b>B</b>	This action is now being led by the Enterprise Board and the Regional ICT Operational Group.	E-skills UK have highlighted ICT skills as a key issue for businesses across all market sectors. To address this, a project on Strategic IT Management is being developed with Wolverhampton University with support from the ICT Operational Group to assist SMEs in making better use of the subject.

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>4 Rural Renaissance support</b>	Regional Rural Affairs Forum	<b>A</b>	<p><b>Regional Rural Affairs Forum:</b> The forum has been active in undertaking 'rural proofing' of the business transformation network and is deeply involved in the review of the West Midlands Economic Strategy. Members of the AWM rural team are also key players in the forum.</p> <p><b>Rural Business Advisory Group:</b> The objectives identified are delivered through a wide range of actions carried out by AWM and other partners. The complexity however of development of programmes and their delivery means that communication and subsequent implementation is through a similarly wide range of partners &amp; stakeholders leading to the risk of mis-information and mis-communication. AWM have strengthened their delivery capacity accordingly.</p>	The objectives as set out in the current strategy are now rather out of date.
	Rural Accord Partners	<b>n/a</b>		
	Rural Business Advisory Group	<b>B</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>5 Business Crime</b>	Regional Business Crime Partnership	<b>B</b>	<p>As indicated in last year's report, provided by GOWM, the action has been taken forward in a different way with the establishment of the West Midlands Regional Business Crime Forum. The Forum has operated (and expanded) throughout the year, meeting regularly and expanding its membership. The Forum is serviced by the West Midlands Business Council.</p> <p>The Forum is operating well as an information exchange body, involving a wide range of stakeholders. However it appears to be struggling to develop actions in its own right. It has struggled to establish links with Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships (CDRPs) and consequently influence LSPs and LAAs.</p>	
<b>6 Business start ups and</b>	Regional Business Start Up Group	<b>n/a</b>	Business Links and the Enterprise Board are the current lead partners on this action.	In light of the new Regional Business Link Structure, the Regional Business Start-up Group
	Regional Incubation Group	<b>C</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>Incubation</b>	(innovation & Technology Council)			has now disbanded.
<b>7 Sustainable Social Enterprise</b>	Regional Social Enterprise Network	<b>B</b>	<p><b>Regional Social Enterprise Network:</b> This action will depend in part on the success of the new Business Link Brokerage Service to secure adequate coverage for social enterprise support across the region. This will become more evident once the tenders have been considered and any gaps addressed. WMSSEN hopes to work in partnership with the Business Link Brokerage Service through the Centre for Expertise for Social Enterprise to continue to achieve against this Action.</p> <p><b>Regional Finance Forum:</b> The main action in this area has been tailored support for social enterprises under the R2i Investment Readiness programme. During 2006/7 a specific link to sources of finance</p>	<p>An evaluation of Investment readiness services currently provided identified an ongoing need for support to businesses of the type that are not traditionally well engaged with Business Links (BL) such as social enterprises and action will be taken during 2007/8 to improve the take up of business support services via BL.</p> <p>Advantage West Midlands has recently commissioned a review of market failure in the provision of debt based finance, including finance for social enterprises and an evaluation of CDFIs to inform future action. One aspect of the review will look at ways of how better linkages can be developed with all existing providers so that West Midlands based social enterprises and those involved with advising them are aware of these and maximise their take up of</p>
	Regional Finance Forum	<b>C</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>for social enterprises was added to the front page of the westmidlandsfinance.com site.</p> <p>A number of regional based CDFIs provide loan finance to Social Enterprises and there are a significant number of nationally based providers of finance for social enterprises.</p> <p>The Access to Finance Team will continue to work closely with the West Midlands Social Enterprise Network and others to develop appropriate interventions to address finance issues for Social Enterprises.</p>	funding from these sources.
<p><b>8</b> <b>Increase Regional Risk Capital and improve access to finance</b></p>	Regional Finance Forum	<b>A</b>	Up to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2007 Investment readiness services were provided to businesses via the Business Link managed R2i programme and the continuation of the Connect/InvoRed programme. These focused support on businesses in the Objective 2 areas. During 2006/7 an	There is a comprehensive range of venture capital funds providing equity based finance of up to £2m. During 2006/7 additional funding for the Mercia Seed Technology Fund has led to increased provision of seed capital for technology businesses. A new package of support towards business angel

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>evaluation of investment readiness services was carried out to inform decisions regarding ongoing provision of services as part of the new Business support model from 1 April 2007. This recommended that the focus of the services should be to support businesses with the potential for high growth but also to provide enhanced support to businesses that face barriers to accessing finance, not least because they have not been sufficiently engaged by business support providers (e.g. some minority ethnic businesses, women, social enterprises etc.) Action is now being taken by the new Business Link to address these issues and also widen coverage beyond the Objective 2 boundaries.</p> <p>The success of the Advantage Transition Bridge Fund (ATBF) in providing loan finance to businesses severely affected by the demise of MG Rover that had</p>	<p>activity was also approved following a review of existing support. CDFIs continue to be supported through the Advantage Small Loans Programme. The Agency has recently commissioned a review and evaluation of CDFIs and of market failure in the provision of debt finance to help inform it and the Regional Finance Forum regarding future support for this type of activity.</p> <p>The launch of the local business exchange (Investbx) continued to be delayed by State Aid issues that were not resolved until the end of 2006. Now these have been resolved preparations are being made to formally launch Investbx in summer 2007.</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			viable business plans to address the situation, but an inability to raise sufficient finance from conventional sources has led to proposals to establish a similar Fund to address a broader range of transitional situations. The Agency is currently gathering evidence of the extent of market failure in the provision of debt based finance to businesses. This is part of the process of identifying the need for public sector intervention to assist businesses in transition access appropriate finance.	
<b>9 Implement updated Regional Innovation Strategy</b>	Regional Innovation Strategy Group Innovation and Technology Council	<b>B</b>	There is still a need for universities to concentrate on applied research and transfer the results in usable form to the business community. Communication between academia and commerce remains a big issue for the Region.	The innovation and technology council has identified five (technological) priority areas and its work is gaining momentum across all five priority areas.
<b>10 Implement Cluster</b>	Ten Cluster Opportunity Group	<b>B</b>	Three year cluster plans started to operate from April 2005. They set	There is a long and growing list of achievements from individual

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>Action Plans</b>			<p>out for each cluster a vision, strategic opportunities and some long-term changes needed to exploit these. The budget approved for the three year cluster programme 2005-08 was £51M. Cluster projects in this three year programme are now largely contracted and delivery is well under way. In 2006-07 Cluster projects spent 92% of budget. By March 2007 83% of cluster budgets for 2007-08 were committed.</p> <p>There is a growing view that there should be a sharper focus on winning target markets to concentrate decision making, assist in prioritisation, and focus more explicitly on higher GVA to force strategic change. The development of major cross-cutting market opportunities involving multiple clusters would be facilitated by this approach.</p> <p>The existing clusters would focus</p>	<p>clusters. A few recent examples are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Major business for Converteam for a new design of wind turbine generators;</li> <li>• Advanced Materials project winning first commercial orders from Rolls Royce;</li> <li>• Collaborations on 5 separate aerospace technology innovations by 17 companies and 3 universities;</li> <li>• SMEs working with architects and design teams on 8 public buildings to develop products for climate change;</li> <li>• The successful piloting of smoothie bars and healthy vending in schools;</li> <li>• 5 sub-regional professional networks of which 2 are self financing;</li> <li>• Pixel Learning securing a serious games contract with one of the big 5 USA consultancies;</li> <li>• Increasing profile for the furniture and interiors sector</li> </ul>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>primarily on a few large attractive markets. Within each market they will focus on those market segments with the greatest opportunities where the Region is strong, many of which are currently focuses of cluster policy. Each cluster will focus primarily on one of these markets, and collaborate increasingly with other clusters and partners also focussing on these markets.</p>	<p>through successful design collaborations;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An Energy Efficient Motor Sport programme contracted;</li> <li>• AWM the lead RDA on the Environmentally Friendly Vehicle, with projects in low carbon and telematics in advanced development</li> <li>• AccredIT UK piloting in the region as a national accreditation scheme for ICT suppliers;</li> <li>• AWM the lead RDA on the innovation platform in Assistive (medical) Technologies</li> <li>• Co-ordinated skills development in the construction industry;</li> <li>• Support for region-wide Better Access to Canals and other infrastructure projects; and</li> <li>• Rail companies linking to a European network of excellence to develop new products.</li> </ul>
<b>11</b>	Three High Technology Corridor	<b>B</b>	Annually, all Corridors produce	The first phase of Corridor activity,

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>Implement Programme for Corridors</b>	Partnerships		<p>rolling 3-year strategic plans. These incorporate a standard 'Project Implementation Schedule', which sets out project expenditure, outputs and timing in a consistent format. Corridor secretariats review and refine these project schedules in close collaboration with the relevant Agency Sponsor and Partnership Director, to monitor and plan activity over time.</p> <p>CTB has been working to deliver much more than WMES Action 11. This is due to the desire of the partners within CTB to see a mutually supporting set of objectives delivered within the Corridor, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action 6 Business Start ups and incubation</li> <li>• Action 9 Technology Transfer</li> <li>• Action 21 Skills (reference HTCs)</li> <li>• Action 28 Strategic Land use</li> <li>• Action 42 Marketing and</li> </ul>	<p>underpinned by Rover Task Force funding, saw the initial tranche of projects completed successfully in terms of delivery of spend and output targets. The Corridors' role subsequently changed fundamentally from one of project management and delivery to one of strategy development and investment planning. Whilst this initially slowed progress in some instances, there is now evidence to suggest that the Corridors are increasingly delivering added value at both the strategic and operational levels. This view has been confirmed by two independent studies - the review of the region's delivery vehicles by GHK Consulting to build the evidence base for the West Midlands Economic Strategy review, and the National Audit Office's Independent Performance Evaluation of the Agency.</p> <p>The CTB Strategy and Action Plans are now fully developed and being</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>image</p> <p>These actions are explicitly captured in the CTB Business Plan 2006 – 09. In order to keep the delivery on track, we would like consideration to be given to allowing CTB more capacity to influence the project approvals process or perhaps allowing CTB to become an investment planning vehicle as recommended during the WMES review process.</p> <p>Although CTB continues to work closely with all partners to ensure that projects are delivered within the timeframe set out, it needs to be recognized that delays occur which are outside CTB's control.</p>	<p>implemented. The existing Business Plan covering the period 2006 – 2009 remains extant and is due for a complete overhaul post WMES publication on 9 November 2007. CTB used additional revenue funding in the financial year 06/07 to improve the performance of some of its key projects: this has been largely successful.</p> <p>CTB has been successful in playing a role in the delivery key infrastructure projects along the Corridor, these include: Pebble Mill, Longbridge Technology Park, Bromsgrove Technology Park, and Malvern Hills Science Park. As these major projects mature, CTB is looking to develop its role of 'connecting technology with enterprise' as well as continuing to deliver new and existing infrastructure improvements along the Corridor.</p> <p>Securing of the Malvern Hills</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
				Science Park revenue funding goes some way towards achieving the original vision of self-sustainability beyond the funding period.
<b>12 Implement Inward Investment Strategy</b>	Advantage West Midlands Sub-regional Investment Partnerships	<b>B</b>	Future inward investment actions will be addressed via a new International Business Framework to be developed following completion of the current West Midlands Economic Strategy consultation process.	In relation to the rural issue the SQW project identified that there is actually very little which can be undertaken proactively to promote the rural areas as a location for inward investment. It is therefore suggested that this action be deleted.
<b>13 Deliver International Trade Strategy</b>	Regional International Trade Strategy Group	<b>A</b>	International Trade activity in the Clusters will in future need to reflect any changes in priorities proposed in the new Regional Economic Strategy. UKTI WM are working with the Cluster Teams on this.  In using the next generation of EU Funds we will need to consolidate and build on the links already established. We will also need to consider working with European Partners to exploit opportunities in	Each Cluster now has an established International Action Plan as an integral part of the overall Cluster Plan. All targets set for the project have been achieved or exceeded.  The 'first phase' of the project was successfully completed with a full programme of activity rolled out with the first wave of Enlargement States including Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary and the Baltic States. Work has

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>new emerging markets like China and India</p> <p>The NEC team is firmly established and we need to continue to work with Partners to refine the services provided and concentrate on building the already excellent relations with key show organisers, trade show sponsors (like Trade Associations) and the NEC itself.</p>	<p>continued with the second wave, in particular with Romania and Bulgaria and we are now preparing for the third wave working with Croatia and Turkey.</p> <p>The NEC Team is now fully established and is hitting all its targets for both Inward Investment and Trade work. The team has established a good working relationship with the NEC Senior Management and is regarded as an asset by the UK Exhibitions Industry as a whole.</p>
<b>14 Environmental Business Practice programme</b>	Government Office for the West Midlands	<b>A</b>	<p>The Regional Energy Strategy was created under the auspices of the Energy West Midlands partnership. Energy West Midlands continues as a partnership to oversee the delivery of Regional Energy Strategy. Progress on implementation was slower than expected and action was taken to appoint a secondee from EON to the post of Director and a steering group of regional</p>	<p>The Environment Business Growth Plan is now closed and support for environmental technology projects is being delivered through the Environmental Technologies Cluster Opportunities Group and the BREW Programme.</p> <p>The Environment Agency does not have responsibility for the preparation of the Regional Energy Strategy. We are a consultee and</p>
	The Environment Agency	<b>n/a</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			partners was set up to oversee progress. A new Energy White Paper was published on 23 May 2007 and the Energy Strategy will need to be revisited to reflect the changing priorities in that white paper.	work with regional partners to inform the strategy. The Environment Agency was the accountable body for the Environmental Business Growth Action Plan, however, in early 2005 this was transferred to Advantage West Midlands.
<b>15 Regional Sustainable Development Framework</b>	Advantage West Midlands	<b>B</b>	The fuel centre action has been taken forward by Advantage West Midlands and others with arranged funding via the Science City initiative with Birmingham University.	The National Industrial Symbiosis Programme, which began in the West Midlands, has now gone national and international over the last few years, and has just relocated their national headquarters from Birmingham City Centre to Kings Norton with 40 jobs to cope with their rapid expansion.
	Birmingham University	<b>B</b>		
	Business Council for Sustainable Development	<b>B</b>		
<b>16 Implement Visitor Economy Strategy</b>	Tourism West Midlands	<b>B</b>	Overall, the identified actions are now very dated – with tourism priorities and structures evolving over the last three years. However, the changes to the governance and delivery structures that are currently being implemented are largely a result of the successful implementation of	Actions are now very dated – the Visitor Economy Strategy is due to be reviewed and updated in 2007. In addition, through an independent review of tourism governance and delivery structures in 2006, Advantage West Midlands have taken on more direct responsibility for tourism development and

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			the Visitor Economy Strategy to date, establishing a basis for the next phase of delivery.	marketing, Tourism West Midlands Board membership has been revised, and the core funding contract to the Heart of England Tourist Board has been discontinued. However, these changes are primarily a reflection on the great deal that has been achieved through the implementation of the Visitor Economy Strategy over the last three years (such as the creation of a comprehensive network of public/private Destination Management Partnerships across the region) – with changes implemented to ensure the successful delivery of the next phase of development (building on activities to date).
<b>17 Develop and Implement FRESA</b>	<del>FRESA Executive Group</del> Regional Skills Partnership	<b>B</b>	The creation of the Regional Skills Partnership in mid 2004 set an entirely new context for the skills actions identified in the WMES. The Partnership was established to lead the skills agenda in the West Midlands [as distinct from the incoherent leadership	The latest prioritisation for the Regional Skills Partnership was published in 2006 and discussed at the Skills Summit held on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> of March 2007, and is now fully embedded in the revised West Midlands Economic Strategy 2007 – 2020.

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			identified in Actions 17-24] and act as a catalyst for real change.	
<b>18 Increase Basic Skills</b>	Skills for Life Strategy Group	<b>B</b>	See Action 17 – incorporated into RSP priorities.	Skills for Life issues are now being addressed through the Regional Skills Partnership Level 2 and Level 3 work, in particular through the Train to Gain Programme.
<b>19 ICT Skills</b>	<del>ICT Learning Task Group</del> ICT Operational Group.	<b>C</b>	This action is now being led by the Regional Skills Partnership and the Regional ICT Operational Group.	E-skills UK have highlighted ICT skills as a key issue for businesses across all market sectors. To address this, a project on Strategic IT Management is being developed with Wolverhampton University with support from the ICT Operational Group to assist SMEs in making better use of the subject.
<b>20 High Level Skills and Adult Learning</b>	Partnerships for Progression – “Aim Higher”	<b>B</b>	See Action 17 – incorporated into RSP priorities. Information on works and guidance and high level skills have now been picked up by the Regional Skills Partnership.	A draft framework for action is being developed by the RSP for High Level Skills and specific actions are now being taken forward; for example, Foundation Degree Forward and Advantage West Midlands investments to increase graduate employment.
	Six West Midlands Learning and Skills Councils	<b>B</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>21</b> <b>Skill needs in Business Clusters</b>	Six West Midlands Learning and Skills Councils (LSCs)	<b>B</b>	See Action 17 – incorporated into RSP priorities. Work ongoing.	Skills Cluster Plans are currently being developed for incorporation over the next 12 months.
<b>22</b> <b>Innovation Skills in Business Clusters and HEIs</b>	West Midlands Higher Education Association (WMHEA) Rural Skills Leadership Group	<b>B</b>	See Action 17 – incorporated into RSP priorities. Work ongoing.	Skills Cluster Plans are currently being developed for incorporation over the next 12 months.
<b>23</b> <b>Leadership Skills</b>	Regional Leadership and Management Skills Group Succession Planning Steering Group	<b>B</b> <b>B</b>	See Action 17 – incorporated into RSP priorities. The Action Plan has been developed with RSP work and taken forward over the last two years.	Pilot work has been undertaken with employers and is currently being taken forward in the new Business Support Services.
<b>24</b> <b>Management Skills for SMEs</b>	Regional Leadership and Management Skills Group	<b>B</b>	See Action 17 – incorporated into RSP priorities. The Leadership and Management Skills Action Plan has been developed with RSP work and taken forward over the last two years. The regional group to champion management development was set up in 2005 and is now leading the delivery of the Action Plan, chaired by an RSP Board member.	Work on the Gateway to Management Qualifications initiative has been completed and evaluated. Voluntary and Community Sector Workforce development has been picked up in the Regional Actions West Midlands (RAWM) Actions on Skills – RAWM Learning.

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<p align="center"><b>25 Implement Entrepreneurship Strategy</b></p>	Regional Young Entrepreneurship Group	<b>B</b>	<p>The Regional Enterprise Board is the current lead on this action. As with action 1 it is vital that support to young people which is a priority for a number of organisations is co-ordinated effectively at a strategic level to ensure best practice and regional coverage. It is also important to recognise that starting a business is not always the best option, especially for young people, and that early pre-pre start up support should aim at helping young people become enterprising and equip them with some skills it may lead to them seeking further education or employment within an organisation. Would question whether this action is actually a priority for the region – whilst encouraging enterprising behavior in young people defiantly is.</p>	<p>This action is now very much part of the overall enterprise culture action 1 which is looking to develop an enterprising culture in all individuals but in particular key groups such as young people. The original lead partners are no longer in operation and this work is being driven by the Enterprise Board. Their work has ensured that pre start-up support programmes aimed at giving young people an awareness of the skills necessary to start a business and generate ideas are a main priority, where appropriate young people will be brokered to organisations that have credibility in delivering support to this market. However, it has also been recognised that encouraging all young people to start a business is not necessarily the most appropriate option and that being 'enterprising' in the wider context is – this is something that is continually being developed through other initiatives driven by the Enterprise Board.</p>
	Local Education Authorities	<b>n/a</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<p align="center"><b>26</b> <b>Deliver Regional Transport Strategy and 'Priorities'</b></p>	<p>Regional Assembly Transport Partnership</p>	<p align="center"><b>A</b></p>	<p>Innovative funding relies on actions and decisions from a range of key partners including central and local government – the RTP provides support and guidance where and when appropriate – always championing alignment and consistency with regional strategies.</p>	<p>The Regional Transport Partnership's strength is being able to co-ordinate the wide range of stakeholder views whether we are considering matters such as rail franchises or new policy. The transport agenda continues to expand and there are many key issues which require all our partners to address – such as Climate Change and Eddington.</p>
<p align="center"><b>27</b> <b>Co-ordinate upgrading of ICT infrastructure</b></p>	<p>West Midlands Broadband Partnership  West Midlands Networking Company</p>	<p align="center"><b>A</b></p>	<p>The Digital West Midlands Steering Group has taken the lead in driving the implementation and take-up of broadband across the region. The West Midlands Networking Company (WMNC) has been engaged with the steering and operational groups.</p>	<p>The Rural Broadband Access Project has delivered 100% access to broadband across the region, for businesses and domestic premises. The remaining few (&lt;100) households without access to at least 512Kb broadband are being addressed in increasingly innovative ways to overcome the limitations imposed by distribution and lay of the land. The West Midlands is the only region to genuinely reach all households and not be content with 100% of exchanges enabled, or just</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
				<p>focusing on businesses.</p> <p>The West Midlands Regional Broadband Network has been in place for the last four years, reliably and effectively delivering connectivity for Local Authorities, Universities, colleges and schools across the Region. Those organizations have now made the choice to move on to alternative, independent solutions and the network will be wound up in October 2007.</p>
<p><b>28</b> <b>Implement strategic land use programme</b></p>	<p>West Midlands Regional Assembly</p> <p>Advantage West Midlands</p>	<p><b>B</b></p> <p><b>B</b></p>	<p>The total amount of employment land developed during 2005/06 was 226 hectares, which was a slight increase from the previous year of 221 hectares. During the RSS monitoring period of 1998/99 – 2004/05, the average rate of completions was 236.6 hectares. Maintaining an adequate supply of employment land is essential for the Region's economic prosperity. The supply of employment land in the Region decreased from 3,337 hectares in 2004/05 to 3,161</p>	<p>During 2005/06, 82% of the Region's employment land development took place on brownfield sites compared to 66% over the previous year. The amount of brownfield land development increased from 158.6 hectares to 186.3 hectares. During 2005/06 no land was developed for Major Investment Site uses. Planning Permission was approved for a MIS site at Wobaston Road in Staffordshire; however this site is not yet readily</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>hectares in 2005/06. The decline in employment land supply will need to be closely monitored over future years and ensuring an adequate supply of employment land in future is a key element of the RSS Phase 2 Revision.</p>	<p>available for development. Infrastructure investment and land contamination treatment is required to bring the site forward.</p> <p>There are a number of gaps in existing provision with no sites currently identified for the Central Technology Belt, the West Birmingham and South Black Country Regeneration Zone or the Coventry and Nuneaton Regeneration Zone. There are also questions over the adequacy of provision within the East Birmingham/ North Solihull Regeneration Zone and CSW High Technology Corridor.</p> <p>The current Phase 2 Revision to the RSS has identified the need to ensure additional provision of RIS in the Coventry &amp; Nuneaton Regeneration Zone, the CSW HTC and the East Birmingham and North Solihull Regeneration Zone, as such it is anticipated that a specific policy setting out this requirement will be incorporated</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
				into the revised RSS.
<b>29 Implement Regional Planning Guidance</b>	Regional Planning Body	<b>B</b>		The WMRSS Partial Revision and WMES Review are running concurrently. In order to ensure a closer alignment between the two strategies the WMRA and AWM are represented on an officer Working Group, whose role is to ensure that the technical elements of the two strategies are developed in a mutually supportive way.
	Advantage West Midlands	<b>B</b>		
<b>30 Develop and Introduce Design Standards</b>	Advantage West Midlands	<b>A</b>	The Regional Centre of Excellence is now taking forwards a range of built environment design initiatives including facilitating the design practitioners network Designed Environment West Midlands - which emanated from joint work with CABE and the region's architecture centres in response to the idea of a more consolidated knowledge hub for the region - highlighted in the Regional Design Framework debate. DEWM is now an operational partnership of	The £50m revamp of Fort Dunlop which had stood empty for over two decades began in the spring of 2005. The iconic former Fort Dunlop tyre factory alongside the M6 has been transformed into offices, retail and a hotel by Manchester-based developers Urban Splash. In October last year the historic Fort Dunlop building re-opened for business.  A number of other key actions have emerged from the Design Work and from the debate in establishing
	RegenWM Board	<b>A</b>		
	West Midlands Regional Regeneration Partners	<b>B</b>		
	CABE and other Regional Design Partners	<b>B</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>organisations and agencies involved in the designed environment and supports enhanced networking activity by design practitioners in the region. This augments the expansive work that RegenWM has developed in regeneration excellence and good practice since its inception in 2003.</p> <p>The region has continued its support for design champions both directly and via the region's architecture centres. Currently the role and focus of the Agency's design Champion is being reframed as work on design in the built environment and sustainable development is taken forward in the region.</p> <p>University of Central England was successful in bidding to host the CABE flagship Urban Design Summer School - which took place 24-27 June 2007 and hosted a record number of</p>	<p>DEWM in 2005 and this includes the establishment of a sub regional design review panel - formed by the sub regional architecture centre in North Staffs (Urban Vision). This has been in operation now for over 2 years and in 2006 was further augmented to include a design advisory service. Meanwhile, Midlands Architecture and the Designed Environment (MADE) the regional architecture centre, set up a regional design review panel which was launched in March 2006.</p> <p>A review of activities and an "audit" of how things have progressed will be undertaken this year.</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			delegates (over 120) in Birmingham before it moves on to Leeds and Newcastle in the next two years.	
<b>31 Support and develop two Urban Regeneration Companies</b>	Advantage West Midlands	<b>B</b>		The North Staffordshire Regeneration Partnership (NSRP) is now established. Operational issues are systematically handled.  Delegation of authority for project approval & delivery from AWM to NSRP would significantly improve pace of delivery.
	Urban Regeneration Companies	<b>B</b>		
	Regeneration Zone Boards	<b>B</b>		
	Pathfinder Boards	<b>n/a</b>		
<b>32 Develop West Midlands Canal Network</b>	Local Authorities	<b>n/a</b>	NO UPDATE AVAILABLE	All the next steps listed against this action have now been completed.
	British Waterways	<b>n/a</b>		
	Inland Waterways Association	<b>n/a</b>		
<b>33 Develop and implement Regional Housing Strategy</b>	Regional Housing Board	<b>A</b>	Integrated approach to RES/RSS has helped take forward Regional Housing Strategy, which has a shared evidence base.	
	Regional Housing Partnership	<b>n/a</b>		
	Pathfinder Boards and other regional partners	<b>n/a</b>		
<b>34</b>	Environment Agency	<b>B</b>	Overall good progress is being	We have been working with the

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<p><b>Implement the Water Resources Strategy</b></p>			<p>made but we cannot achieve our aims for water resources on our own. We are taking some actions forward as national projects. For others we need to work with partners in the region to make sure water continues to be available for human use and that the environment is properly protected.</p> <p>We continue to work with water companies on AMP4. The environmental programme includes investigations into a number of sites where abstractions may be impacting the environment. We have also started developing our next environmental plan for AMP5 in discussion with water companies. We are liaising with water companies on their current water resource plans and have commenced discussions on the development of their next water resource plans covering the period 2010-2015.</p> <p>The Environment Agency is</p>	<p>Regional Assembly and relevant water companies to discuss the impact of increased housing growth on public water supplies. We have made various recommendations and suggested that the Regional Spatial Strategy should include a policy on water efficiency such as requiring all new houses to meet level 3 of the Code of Sustainable Homes, particularly focusing on the most sensitive/high risk resource zones. This level of the code requires good water efficiency to be achieved. Local Planning Authorities need to recognise that improvements to the water distribution infrastructure will be required to provide water supplies to new housing.</p> <p>We are now updating and refreshing our Water Resource Strategy and in July we will publish a document outlining the pressures and challenges we face with managing water resources. We plan to publish a new strategy for England and Wales by the end of</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			currently working with key organisations to develop the Water Framework Directive. We are due to publish and consult on a Summary of the Significant Water Management Issues in July 2007. Actions and measures to tackle these issues will support some of the actions within the Water Resource Strategy. The first River Basin Management Plans will be published by the end of 2009.	2008. This will supersede our Water Resource strategies published in 2001. We do not plan to produce regional strategies as local information will be included in the River basin management Plans for the Water Framework Directive.
<b>35</b> <b>Promote environmentally beneficial farming</b>	Regional Rural Affairs Forum	<b>B</b>	The forum deals with wide range of issues, one of which includes environmentally beneficial farming. The issue has featured in our annual report conference and we will be holding specialist seminars in the future. However, finding time in busy agenda is an issue.	
	Regional Biodiversity Partnership	<b>n/a</b>		
<b>36</b> <b>Deliver Regeneration Zones Initiative</b>	Six Regeneration Zone Boards	<b>B</b>	This year the process was excellent. The guidance was clear and the timetable was adhered to. The feedback was concise	
	Advantage west Midlands	<b>B</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			and welcome.	
<p><b>37</b> <b>Implement Market Towns Initiatives</b></p>	<p>West Midlands Market Towns Forum, Sub-County Networks and Market Town Partnerships</p>	<p><b>A</b></p>	<p>An evaluation of the West Midlands Market Towns Programme is currently underway, which will include proposals for future market towns investment in the region. Without precluding that report (due July 2007) the key actions to develop and sustain the success of the market towns programme are likely to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• recognition that many market towns have a key role to play in the prosperity of the region, as attractive places to live and work, besides their local service centre function.</li> <li>• Market Town Partnerships have a good track record in stimulating and developing initiatives to benefit their town, and in harnessing a range of public and private sector investment. Market Town Partnerships would benefit</li> </ul>	<p>The Market Towns Group 1 and Group 2 programmes have now been completed, with a portfolio of project activity having been delivered and an appropriate market town partnership having been formed in each town. The Group 3 programme is now mid-way through the third of a four year programme; each one has a solid portfolio of activity and strong partnership. A key development site or flagship project has been identified for each market town in the programme. In several towns, the market town programme has provided the spur that has led to the development of a number of significant projects with investment from a variety of sources, and boosting confidence in the town. Market Towns Forums, drawing together officer and Partnership representatives from most of the region's market towns plus other interested groups, have continued</p>

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>from investment in supporting their future activity, either through matched revenue support or capital investment in income-generating assets to benefit both the town and partnership.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Availability of further capital resources targeted at key economic development projects in Market Towns.</li> </ul>	to meet on a quarterly basis. The Forums have been successful in enabling towns to network, learn from other's experience both within and outside the region. Action is underway to launch a new series of Forums, building on the success to date, with extra emphasis upon sharing local, practical knowledge and experience, including the development of a series of best practice notes.
<b>38 Economic Intervention in Towns Study issues</b>	Sub-regional economic partnerships	<b>n/a</b>	This is not a specific action as the subregional activity is embedded across the piece.	
	Local Strategic Partnerships	<b>n/a</b>		
	Advantage West Midlands	<b>n/a</b>		
<b>39 Improve support for formation of social enterprise</b>	Regional Social Enterprise Network	<b>A</b>	Through a range of activity to be delivered through the WMSSEN project we are on target to achieve this action.	
<b>40 Role of the NHS and other large public sector</b>	Government Office for the West Midlands	<b>B</b>	The Regional Health partnership is working well. The draft of the Regional health and well being strategy is out to consultation.	The Government Office public health team on behalf of the SHA has set up a corporate citizen network and has focused on issues
	Three Strategic Health Authorities	<b>n/a</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>bodies</b>	Local Authorities	<b>n/a</b>	<p>There is a section on the economy and health. AWM personnel attend the majority of the meetings and have played an active part in the development of the strategy.</p> <p>Local Procurement has been a challenge as within Trusts, there is not always the capacity to do a supply chain analysis and often it is the distributor rather than the suppliers whose address is recorded.</p> <p>In the local procurement of services the market needs to be grown to provide an alternative to NHS facilities.</p> <p>There is a further need to develop the joint work with the SHA on the procurement of local services, which includes goods but also looks at how alternative providers to NHS provision can be supported and grown.</p>	<p>such as waste and energy in the first instance.</p> <p>Initial work has started on mapping local food procurement to NHS hospitals in Birmingham.</p> <p>The consultation draft RES is strengthening many of the above key areas. If the draft remains as it is, this will help in identifying factors that promotes health such as reducing worklessness, promoting health at work, growing the SME companies, capitalizing on the research agenda in the NHS and using the NHS as an economic driver.</p>
<b>41</b>	Regional Action West Midlands	<b>C</b>	RAWM is experiencing capacity	EMBRACE is no longer

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
<b>Engagement of Voluntary and Community Sector in Regeneration</b>	(RAWM)		issues which are affecting their ability to deliver. Regular one to one meetings with RAWM, GOWM and AWM are to be held.	operational: The demise of EMBRACE has made progress really slow. However BME panel is now in place so work to move the agenda forward is possible.
	<del>EMBRACE West Midlands</del>	<b>n/a</b>		
	Business in Communities	<b>n/a</b>		
	Government Office for the West Midlands	<b>C</b>		
	Advantage West Midlands	<b>C</b>	The R20 Programme will support sector engagement; however engagement with programme is extremely limited in terms of delivery.  RAWM welcomes the proposed development of an economic inclusion focus to inform the new WMES. This should assist future delivery in the context identified.	
<b>42 Implement marketing and image programme</b>	Advantage West Midlands	<b>B</b>	The Governing Idea and the first Regional Marketing Campaign (business) launched in 2004. Subsequent regional image building activity has developed well against business, media and government audiences.  Campaigns and activity against other Regional Marketing Strategy (RMS) defined audiences	The review of the Regional Marketing Strategy will inform future actions and delivery. Key areas of focus will be; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased message and creative synthesis across audiences to ensure ease of alignment for partners and increased collective ROI</li> <li>• Future role of key partners,</li> </ul>
	West Midlands Regional Assembly	<b>n/a</b>		

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>(visitors/students/regional population) requires increased focus.</p> <p>Broad regional marketing work requires increased synthesis across audiences to ensure maximum return for the region. A review of the current Regional Marketing Strategy is underway to address this.</p>	<p>Ambassadors and Champions in the delivery of the revised RMS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continual review and assessment of evidence base and impact on perceptions.</li> </ul>
<b>43</b> <b>Sharing of information and intelligence</b>	West Midlands Regional Observatory	<b>A</b>	The Annual State of the Region update in 2006 was well received and plans are in place to produce 2007 update, covering climate change and demographic change.	
<b>44</b> <b>Working with other Regions</b>	<p>Advantage West Midlands</p> <p>Government Office for the West Midlands</p> <p>West Midlands Regional Assembly</p>	<p><b>B</b></p> <p><b>n/a</b></p> <p><b>n/a</b></p>	<p>Work is ongoing with the East Midlands on the Midlands Aerospace Alliance and on inward investment activity through the shared, 'British Midlands' brand. A memorandum of understanding has been established with Central Wales and co-operation is ongoing on rural regeneration and tourism related activities.</p>	This action will be taken forward into the revised WMES Action Plan.

Action Number	Lead Partners	Grade as given by Lead Partner	Summary of Comments	Major Change
			<p>A study was commissioned and completed on the impact of the Milton Keynes South Midlands Growth Area on the West Midlands. The results are being used to inform the review of the WMES and the revision of the WMRSS.</p> <p>The Smart Growth Midlands Way Action Plan has been finalised with a proposal for an initial focus on the delivery of a small number of high priority actions. These are: Advancing to a further stage of the Midlands Engineering Redeployment Initiative (MEIRG); taking forward joint working on sustainable transport technologies, particularly around fuel cells and joint work on developing international investment and trade links with a particular focus on China and other emerging markets.</p>	
<b>45 Implement</b>	Regional Assembly's European and International Affairs	<b>B</b>	Some of the next steps to carry out this priority action have been	Some of the indicated actions are out of date and the agenda and

<b>Action Number</b>	<b>Lead Partners</b>	<b>Grade as given by Lead Partner</b>	<b>Summary of Comments</b>	<b>Major Change</b>
<b>European Strategic Framework and Strategy</b>	Partnership		overtaken by events; the European Strategy is totally out of date and has not been referred to by partners for some time.	regional partnership working has moved on significantly since the action plan was originally put together.  Following the Regional Assembly's strategic review of European working, endorsed by the Assembly in April 2007, a new document is being prepared, led by Advantage West Midlands.